IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI JACKSON DIVISION

ANTONIO CORNELIOUS TURNER

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:09cv87-TSL-FKB

SHERIFF TOBY TROBRIDGE, JR.

DEFENDANT

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This cause comes on this date on the *sua sponte* motion of the Court to dismiss this cause of action because of Plaintiff's failure to prosecute his claims. Natural Gas Pipeline Co. v. Energy Gathering, Inc., 2 F.3d 1397, 1407 (5th Cir. 1993). Plaintiff failed to attend the Omnibus Hearing held in this matter on March 31, 2010. The docket sheet does not indicate that the Order setting the hearing was returned to the Court by the U.S. Postal Service. In addition, an order entered by Judge Lee on March 31, 2010, and sent to the Plaintiff at the address he provided to the Court has been returned to the Court by the U.S. Postal Service marked, "Return to Sender" and "Unable to Forward." (Document Numbers 19 and 20, 3/31/10.) In its orders, this Court has warned Plaintiff that he should keep the Court informed of his current address. Furthermore, the Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Show Cause Order entered by the Court on April 5, 2010. (Document number 18.) By failing to attend the Omnibus Hearing on March 31, 2010, by failing to keep the Court informed of his current address, and by failing to respond to the Show Cause Order, the Plaintiff has failed to prosecute this case. For these reasons, the undersigned recommends that Plaintiff's claims be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

The parties are hereby notified that failure to file written objections to the proposed

findings, conclusions, and recommendation contained within this report and recommendation within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court. 28 U.S.C. § 636, <u>Douglass v. United Services</u>

<u>Automobile Association</u>, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this the 27th day of April, 2010.

/s/ F. Keith Ball
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE